

Exegetical Study of Mark 10:42-45 and Its Relevance to Effective School Leadership and Administration in Delta State

By

Okoh, Blessing Uenoson (Ph.D)

Abstract

This paper is an exegetical examination of Mark 10:42 – 45, where Jesus taught his disciples about the qualities of a good leader. It is no gainsaying that effective school administration is critical in advancing a positive school lifestyle, fostering the well-being of teachers, students and enhancing academic results. Notwithstanding, a lot of schools have challenges in effective leadership and administration, resulting in moral decadence and poor academic outcomes. Conventional leadership style usually places emphasis on authority and power over service and collaboration. Mark 10:42 – 45 offers an important overview for understanding effective leadership. The study employs historical and literary examination of the Bible passage. The findings include key principles of servant-leadership patterned by Jesus. It concludes that the theological constituents of servant – leadership as illustrated in the passage supply crucial perceptions for effective school administration and insights for policy-makers seeking to advance institutions. The study therefore recommends that school administrators should organize training programmes to assist teachers and students understand and apply the models of servant leadership.

Keywords: Relevance, Effective, School, Leadership and Administration

Introduction

Leadership has a crucial role in shaping institutions and promoting their successes. In educational contexts, proper leadership has great impact in the quality of instruction, learning outcomes and overall school performance. Among various leadership paradigms, servant leadership is a concept founded in biblical teachings which emphasize humility, service and prioritizing of others' needs over personal ambition. Mark 10:42-45 offers an important theological framework for understanding servant leadership, as Jesus explicitly redefined leadership as service rather than power (Greenleaf, 2002; Blanchard and Hodges, 2005). The study therefore investigates the

relevance of Mark 10:42-45 to current school administration, emphasizing the necessity for leadership methods that promote the well-being and development of stakeholders, notably students and staff. By combining exegetical insights from this biblical scripture, the research attempts to explore how school administrators might develop cultures that mirror servant leadership concepts (Evans 2000).

Despite several leadership styles and tactics in educational administration, many schools continue to encounter issues such as staff unhappiness, inefficient governance and inadequate student assistance. Traditional leadership methods generally emphasize authority and control, which can damage collaboration and trust within the school community. This poses a fundamental question: how might biblical principles of servant leadership, as shown in Mark 10:42-45, guide and reform school administration methods to address these difficulties.

Objectives of the Study

The objectives of this study are to:

1. Conduct an exegetical investigation of Mark 10:42-45 to find its theological and practical consequences;
2. Explore the ideals of servant leadership found in the book and their applicability to school administration
3. Provide practical strategies for building servant leadership in educational situation.

The paper adopted exegetical analysis. The merging of humility, service and sacrifice in leadership provides a transformative model for contemporary educational environments. By linking biblical principles with organizational practices, school leaders can create environments that promote collaboration, inclusivity and holistic development.

Literature Review

Theological Context of Mark 10:42 - 45

Mark 10:42-45 is a background text in the subject of servant leadership in Christian theology. The passage is positioned within a bigger narrative where Jesus prepared

his disciples for his impending death and taught them about the nature of true greatness. In this periscope, Jesus compared worldly leadership characterized by domination and authority with the heavenly model of leadership, which emphasizes humility and service (France, 2002). Jesus plainly stated “But it shall not be so among you: but whoever would be great among you must be your servant and whoever would be first among you must be slave of all” (Mark 10:43 – 44, RSV). This theological teaching originated in reaction to the disciples' quest for position and authority, as demonstrated in the request of James and John to sit at Jesus' right and left in his glory (Mark 10:37). According to Stein (2008), this scenario underlines the human impulse toward self-promotion. Jesus himself stated “For even the Son of Man did not come to be served, but to serve, and to give his life as a ransom for many” (Mark 10:45, NIV). This verse encapsulates the sacrificial and redemptive nature of Jesus' mission, which serves as the pattern for Christian leadership (Witherington, 2001).

Servant leadership as illustrated in Mark 10:42-45, has been thoroughly debated within biblical academia. Greenleaf (2002) originally simplified the term "servant leadership" in a modern context, but its theological roots extend back to biblical teachings. Jesus' emphasis on servant hood accords with the Old Testament portrait of leaders such as Moses, who prioritized the well-being of the Israelites and David, who served as a shepherd of his people (DePree, 2008). According to Blanchard and Hodges (2005), servant leadership focuses on empowering others and establishing an environment where people can flourish. This perspective is congruent with the teachings of Mark 10:42-45, where leadership is defined not by authority but by selfless service. France (2002), notes that this story demonstrates the disciple's incapacity to grasp the nature of Jesus' kingdom, necessitating his corrective instruction on servant leadership. The exegetical consideration of Mark 10:42-45 reveals its deep theological and practical consequences for leadership. Furthermore, Patterson (2003) highlights seven important attributes of servant leadership love,

humility, altruism, vision, trust, empowerment and service, all of which are evident throughout Jesus' ministry. Mark 10:42-45 is set within the overall story of Jesus' teachings on discipleship in Mark 8:27-10:52. This part emphasizes the expense of following Jesus and contrasts the disciples' worldly desires with the spiritual principles of humility and service. The immediate context includes the request by James and John for positions of power (Mark 10:35-40), which indicates their misunderstanding of Jesus' mission.

According to Yengkopiong (2023), servant leadership is a complete style of leadership that involves the leader with followers in a relational and ethical way. In the passage, Jesus urged his followers to be servants. His disciples did not understand his messiah mission. He came to serve and not to be served. Thompson (2015) is of the opinion that leadership in the Roman Empire during the first century AD was seriously structured and highly moderated. Agosto (2005) asserts that access to power was depended upon various well-defined qualifications, which include wealth, family, origins and occupation. As a result, leadership and power were restricted to those categories that had already attained the status. Clarke (2000) buttresses that leadership positions in Greek and Roman cities were occupied by rich individuals who offered to underwrite the expenses of the city in exchange for positions and privileges. Jesus introduced his followers to a radically different standard of leadership, which centers on serving one's followers and leads to a more transformational method of leadership (Howel, 2003). Jesus turned His disciples' understanding of kingdom leadership.

In educational circumstances, servant leadership provides a structure for leaders to place high premium on the needs of students, instructors and other stakeholders. Naylor (2015) asserts that servant leaders in schools are not just responsible for decision-making but also for supporting the growth and development of all members of the school environment. This agrees with the biblical emphasis on servant leadership as relational and transformative. The textual analysis of Mark 10:42-45 focuses on the original Greek language of the passage to reveal nuances and

meanings that may be lost in translation. For example, significant phrases like as “diakonos” (servant) and “doulos” (slave) are explored in their lexical and semantic settings to better grasp Jesus' teachings on leadership (France, 2002). This phase provides a correct understanding of the passage and forms the basis for applying its concepts to contemporary contexts. The historical and cultural context of Mark 10:42-45 is crucial to understanding its meaning. This study places the passage within the broader socio-political framework of first century Palestine, particularly the Roman Empire, where power and authority were commonly exercised through domination and control (Witherington 2001). Jesus' countercultural message of servant leadership does not square up with the existing standards of His time, making it a radical appeal for humility and selflessness. Mark 10:42-45 is investigated within the literary framework of the Gospel of Mark. The text is part of a broader narrative segment (Mark 8:27-10:52) that focuses on discipleship and Jesus' travel to Jerusalem. This context shows the struggle of the disciples to understand Jesus' mission and their continuous request for status and power (Stein, 2008). By evaluating the literary structure and topics of the Gospel, the paper reveals the coherence and significance of Jesus' teachings on servant leadership. Theological interpretation synthesizes the findings from textual, historical and literary investigations to clarify the greater theological implications of Mark 10:42-45. This step involves studying how the passage adds to the biblical narrative of leadership and its application to modern Christian practice (Hale and Fields 2022).

Historical and Cultural Context

The historical backdrop of Mark 10:42-45 is the Roman Empire, where leadership was commonly connected with domination, authority and privilege. In this environment, the manner of the disciples' ambition for prominence signifies a widespread cultural aspiration. Witherington (2001), adds that Jesus' reaction supersedes these standards by offering a counter-cultural paradigm of leadership grounded in servanthood and self-sacrifice. The allusion to rulers lording it over their

subjects (Mark 10:42) shows the hierarchical institutions of Roman authority. Jesus contrasts this with his radical invitation to servant hood, epitomized by His own purpose to “give His life as a ransom for many” (Mark 10:45). Stein (2008) avows that this phrase not only outlines Jesus’ purpose but also provides the theological basis for Christian leadership.

The fundamental theme of Mark 10:42-45 is the redefinition of greatness. Jesus states, "Whoever wants to become great among you must be your servant, and whoever wants to be first must be slave of all" (Mark 10:43 - 44, NIV). The Greek term for servant, diakonos, stresses active service to others, whereas the one for slave, doulos represent total submission and humility. These phrases underline the radical nature of Jesus' message which places servant hood above authority (Hale and Fields 2022). Jesus' emphasis on servant hood threatens hierarchical and self-serving styles of leadership. Blanchard and Hodges (2005) describe this as a downward path to greatness where leaders concentrate on the needs of others over their own ambitions. This idea has great consequence for contemporary leadership, particularly in educational setting where collaboration and consequence are essential. Mark 10:45 serves as the theological culmination of the narrative, “For the son of man also came not to be served but to serve and to give his life as a ransom for many” (RVS). The phrase "give His life as a ransom” alludes to the sacrificial nature of Jesus' mission, grounded in the Old Testament concept of redemption (Isaiah 53:10-12). Witherington (2001), buttresses that Jesus’ selfless leadership is both a standard and a demand for His followers. This notion of leadership by sacrifice has practical applicability to school management. Educational leaders are required to emphasize the well-being of their staff and students, normally at personal risk. Patterson (2003) notes that selfless leadership fosters trust and loyalty promoting a culture of mutual respect and collaboration.

Jesus' message in Mark 10:42-45 is not only a recommendation but a heavenly mandate for His followers. By tying leadership to servant hood and sacrifice, Jesus offers a paradigm that is both theological and practical. Naylor (2015) observes that

servant leadership resonates with the biblical narrative of stewardship, where leaders are entrusted with the obligation to serve and nurture others. Mark 10:42-45 presents a plan for developing a culture of servanthood in schools. Educational leaders that demonstrate humility and selflessness motivate their staff and pupils to adopt similar principles. Spears (2010) stresses that servant leadership generates a collaborative environment where all stakeholders feel appreciated and empowered. The sacrificial aspect of leadership mentioned in Mark 10:45 has practical implications for school management. Leaders are often compelled to make choices that value the collective good over personal interests. Blanchard and Hodgeş (2005) note that such decisions to go a long way in challenging and developing confidence and trust among staff and students. One of the primary outcomes of servant leadership is the development of others. By serving their staff and students, school leaders offer possibilities for growth and development. Patterson (2003), buttresses the fact that empowerment is a hallmark of servant leadership, enabling companies to attain their full potential.

Servant Leadership in Educational Administration

The application of servant leadership principles in educational administration has attracted substantial attention in recent years. Educational organizations, particularly faith-based schools, generally seek leadership models that align with their values and mission. Spears (2010) proposes ten traits of servant leaders, including listening, empathy, healing, awareness, persuasion, conceptualization, foresight, stewardship, dedication to the growth of others and fostering community. These traits are particularly important in school administration, where teamwork and trust are crucial. According to Gunn (2017), school administrators that employ servant leadership provide inclusive settings where all stakeholders feel appreciated and valued. This approach develops a sense of shared responsibility and fosters innovation and creativity. Furthermore, Lemoine et al. (2019) underline that servant leadership promotes teachers' morale, reduces stress rates and improves students' results. These

data demonstrate that servant leadership is not merely a theological ideal but also a practical method for good management.

Several studies have shown the relationship of biblical concepts and educational leadership. For example, Evans (2001) investigates the theological implications of servant leadership in educational environments and argues that biblical teachings provide a firm foundation for ethical leadership practices. Similarly, Hale and Fields (2007) underline the essence of incorporating scriptural concepts into leadership training programmes for educators and administrators. However challenges exist in adopting servant leadership in schools. DePree (2008) adds that servant leadership involves a paradigm change from traditional hierarchical models to collaborative and relational approaches. In addition, Naylor (2015) observes that resistance to change and the lack of knowledge of servant leadership notion can hinder its implementation. In spite of these limitations, the rising corpus of scholarship highlights the importance of Mark 10:42-45 and servant leadership in addressing modern issues in school administration.

In order to bridge the gap between biblical exegesis and practical application, the study employs a comparative method, investigating parallels between the servant leadership concepts in Mark 10:42-45 and modern models of school administration. This involves evaluating scholarly works on servant leadership in education, such as those by Spears (2010), Patterson (2003), and Naylor (2015) to develop practical solutions for implementing servant leadership in schools. Mark 10:45 underscores the sacrificial aspect of Jesus' leadership, which requires placing the common welfare over personal interests. School administrators can use this idea by making ethical decisions that benefit the school community, especially when such decisions are tough. Patterson (2003) is of the opinion that ethical leadership formulates a culture of honesty and responsibility, which is essential for long-term success. For examples, leaders may want to allocate resources to underprivileged sections of the school or address Systemic imbalances, even if such steps are controversial or resource-intensive. These decisions portray the biblical call to selflessness and justice. Servant

leaders approach disagreements with humility and a dedication to reconciliation. In school settings, this means settling disputes among staff or students by stressing relationships and pursuing mutually beneficial results. Witherington (2001), notes that selfless leadership often entails leaving aside personal biases and preferences to promote peace and unity. Practical application includes establishing restorative justice procedures and promoting a culture of discussion and understanding. Such tactics not only resolve problems but also model Christ-like behaviour for students and staff.

Capacity building is a cornerstone of servant leadership, as leaders endeavour to assist people to attain their full potential. School administrators can use this principle by investing in the professional development of teachers and staff. Spears (2010), avers that servant leaders function as mentors and facilitators offering resources and support needed for growth. Practical ways include creating mentorship programmes, arranging workshops on creative teaching methods and encouraging staff to pursue further education. These initiatives coincide with the servant leadership idea of developing people for the benefit of the organization (Blanchard and Hodges, 2005). Servant leadership also goes to build students to take on leadership roles. Schools can establish opportunity for children to build leadership capacities through initiatives such as student councils, peer mentorship programmes and community service projects. Hale and Fields (2007) aver that these experiences equip students to become responsible and compassionate leaders in their environments.

Fostering a Culture of Service

The ideas outlined in Mark 10:42-45 emphasize service as the cornerstone of leadership. In educational Contexts, this translates to fostering a culture where administrators, teachers and other staff stress the needs of students and the community. Spears (2010) also cites qualities of servant leadership, such as empathy, stewardship and community building that can guide school leaders in developing a service-oriented culture. For instance, school administrators can undertake service yearning programmes that involve both staff and students in community outreach.

Such efforts reflect the biblical duty to “serve others” and promote principles of compassion and accountability in them (Naylor, 2015). A crucial part of servant leadership is developing others to contribute to the goals and aspirations of the organization. In schools, this means developing collaborative teams where all members feel respected and engaged in decision-making processes. Hale and Fields (2007) suggest that servant leaders inspire trust and loyalty by recognizing the viewpoints and contributions of their team members. Practical measures include developing open communication channels, boosting professional development opportunities for instructors, and incorporating staff in strategic planning. These actions agree with the servant leadership principle of valuing the well-being of others (Blanchard and Hodges, 2005).

Key Findings

This work has presented an exegetical analysis of Mark 10:42-45, investigating the theological themes of Servant hood, sacrifice and servant leadership and has investigated their implications for efficient school administration. The following significant findings were observed from the exegetical study:

1. **Reevaluating Leadership:** Mark 10:42-45 proposes a thorough evaluation of leadership, where greatness is not assessed by power or authority but by the commitment to serve others. This challenge to worldly concepts of leadership is important to the Christian model of leadership and provides a theological foundation for servant leadership.
2. **Servant Leadership as a Theological Mandate:** The passage accentuates that servant leadership is not just a most fitting trait, but a divine mandate for all Christians, including those in leadership positions in educational settings. Jesus’ example of self-sacrifice and service set the touchstone for Christian leaders to emulate.
3. **Implications for School Leadership:** The principles delineated in Mark 10:42-45 are directly applicable to school administration. By promoting an attitude of service, making ethical decisions and empowering others, school

leaders can provide environments that promote cooperation, all-encompassing and holistic development. These principles are coordinated with modern leadership theories, especially servant leadership, which is increasingly acknowledged as an effective approach in educational settings.

4. **Challenges to Implementation:** In spite of the clear biblical mandate for servant leadership, its realization in schools faces various challenges, which include resistance to change, balancing service with authority and overcoming deep-rooted hierarchical designs. Nevertheless, these challenges can be overcome through intentional leadership ongoing training and clear communication of the benefits of servant leadership.

Conclusion

The theological and practical constituents of servant leadership as illustrated in Mark 10:42-45, give essential overview for effective school administration. By stressing service over power, school leaders may establish lifestyles that support collaboration, trust and holistic growth. The passage investigated in this study portrays the essence of servant leadership in educational environments and underlines the need for more research on its implementation and impact. This Paper provides the analytical framework for studying the theological and practical implications of Mark 10:42-45 for efficient school management. By employing exegetical and comparative studies, the study closes the lacuna between biblical teachings and current leadership practices.

By redefining greatness as servant hood and emphasizing leadership through sacrifice, Jesus offers a model that is both countercultural and revolutionary. The ideals of servant leadership described in this study give useful insights for school administration, urging leaders to prioritize humility, service and empowerment.

The practical application of Mark 10:42-45 to school management illustrates the transforming power of servant leadership. By building a culture of service making ethical judgments, and empowering stakeholders, school leaders may create environments that reflect the principles of humility, selflessness, and teamwork. While problems remain, the ideals of servant leadership offer a durable and impactful model for educational leadership. Mark 10:42-45 provides a strong vision of leadership that challenges conventional models of authority and emphasizes service, humility and sacrifice. By adopting these concepts in school administration, leaders may build environments where collaboration, trust and ethical decision-making thrive. This study has proved that servant leadership grounded on the teachings of Jesus offers a sustainable and transformative pattern for school administration. As educational leaders adopt this paradigm, they can positively impact their communities, building an environment where both educators and students thrive in an atmosphere of mutual respect, caring and service.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following recommendations are made for school administrators, educators and policy makers to effectively integrate servant leadership principles into school administration:

- 1 School administrators should organize training programmes to assist teachers, staff and students understand and apply the models of servant leadership. Workshops, seminars and leadership retreats should concentrate on the styles of humility, service and empowerment.
- 2 Leaders should demonstrate servant leadership by stressing the needs of students, instructors and staff. This requires being passionate, promoting teamwork and empowering others to take leadership posts. School leaders should provide avenues for staff and students to engage in service-oriented projects, both within and beyond the school.

- 3 Policies and procedures should display the values of servant leadership. For instance, decision making processes should prioritize the well-being of students and the school community. Ethical leadership should be the foundation of school policy, with administrators on regular basis, examining their decisions to ensure they are in touch with biblical concepts of justice, service and sacrifice.
- 4 School leaders should be committed to making ethical judgments that will make for the good of the school community. This means a willingness to make difficult choices, such as reallocating resources to regions of need or addressing injustices within the school system.
- 5 School leaders should focus on empowering teachers and staff by including them in decision-making processes and providing opportunities for professional advancement. Joint leadership skills, where staff are encouraged to offer ideas and share duties, can lead to higher engagement, motivation and job satisfaction.
- 6 Schools should frequently investigate the impact of servant leadership on the school community. This could include carrying out a study to evaluate staff and students' satisfaction, reviewing academic performance and tracking community involvement.

References

- Agosto, E. (2005). *Servant leadership: Jesus & Paul*. St. Louis: chalice press. Retrieved on 26/2/25 from <https://www.lifeandleadership.com>
- Blanchard, K. and Hodges, P. (2005). *Lead Like Jesus: Lessons from the Greatest Leadership Role Model of All Time*. Thomas Nelson. Retrieved on 26/2/25 from <https://www.amazon.com>
- Bryman, A. (2012). *Social Research Methods*. London: Oxford University Press.

- Clarke, A. D. (2000). *Serve the community of the church; Christians as leaders and ministers (first century Christians in the Graeco – Roman world series)*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches*. UK: Sage Publishing.
- DePree, M. (2008). *Leadership Is an Art.*. Retrieved on 25/2/25 from <https://www.amazon.com>
- Evans, G A. (2000). *Mark 8:27–16:20*. Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 34B, Thomas Nelson. Retrieved on 26/2/2025 from <https://www.amazon.com>
- Fee, G. D. and Douglas S. (2014). *How to Read the Bible for All It's worth*. Zondervan. Retrieved on 25/2/25 from <https://media.sabd.org>
- France, R. T. (2002). *The Gospel of Mark: A Commentary on the Greek Text*. Eerdmans. Retrieved on 25/2/25 from <https://books.google.com>
- Greenleaf, R. K. (2002) *Servant Leadership: A Journey into the Nature of Legitimate Power and Greatness*. Retrieved on 26/2/25 from <https://www.amazon.com>
- Gunn, D. (2017) *Servant Leadership and School Culture: A Biblical Perspective*. Retrieved on 25/2/25 from <https://regularbaptistpress.org>.
- Hale, J. R. and Fields, D. (2022). *Biblical Leadership Principles for Modern Organizations*. Retrieved on 25/2/25 from <https://biblicalcalblueprints.com>
- Howel, D. R. J. (2003). *Servant of the servant: A biblical theology of leadership*. Eugene, OR: WIPF & stock
- Lemoine, P. A., et al. (2019). "Servant Leadership in Education: Implications for Teacher Retention and Student Success." *Journal of Educational Leadership*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 57–73.
- Naylor, T. (2015). *Leadership and the Christian School: A Biblical Framework*. Christian Academic Press. Retrieved on 25/2/25 from <https://www.regent.edu>.
- Patterson, K. A. (2003). "Servant Leadership: A Theoretical Model." Retrieved on 25/2/25 from <https://www.regent.edu>.

Spears, L. C. (2010). *The Character of Leadership: Servant Leadership in Action*. Retrieved on 25/2/25 from <https://www.scirp.org>.

Stein, R. H. (2008). Baker Exegetical commentary on the New Testament: Mark. Retrieved on 17/2/25 from <https://www.amazon.co.uk>.

Thompson, B. (2015). Servant, Leader or Both? A fresh book at Mark 10:35 – 45. Retrieved on 17/2/25 from <https://digitalcommons.andrew.edu>

Yengkopiong, J. P. (2023). Biblical foundation of servant leadership: An inner – textual analysis of Mark 10:41 – 45. *East African Journal of traditions culture and religion*, 6(1): 40 – 55. Retrieved on 17/2/25 from <https://www.researchgate.net>

Witherington, B. (2001) *The Gospel of Mark: A Socio-Rhetorical Commentary*. Retrieved on 25/2/25 from <https://books.google.com>.